

## LU007852 Public Information Meeting – July 20, 2016

### Summary of Comments

	<b>Number of Responses</b>
<b>Support</b>	
1. General support, no other details given	18
2. Proposal would contribute to housing affordability and diversity	5
3. Like the proposal to replace the Firehall Arts Centre	4
4. Like the proposed design	2
<b>Opposed</b>	
1. Concerns with spillover parking/increased traffic/pedestrian safety in the surrounding neighbourhood	15
2. Concerns with proposed height and mass	8
3. Concerns with the proposed sale of public lands to a private developer	6
4. Do not support increased density over the OCP limits	5
5. Concerns with privacy/sight lines	4
6. Concerns with traffic on 84 Avenue	2
<b>Other Comments</b>	
1. Park land should be retained or expanded to accommodate for future densification and new residents.	5
2. Find alternative sources to fund arts centre replacement other than sale of public lands (property taxes, development charges/levies, etc.)	3
3. Do not agree with the findings of the traffic study. Traffic volumes are understated.	3
4. Timeline is "too rushed" for this application. More time is needed to work out details, address concerns and for further consultation with community	2
5. Would like the development to include larger 3 bedroom units	1
6. The development should include below market rental/housing agreement	1
7. Traffic improvements needed on 84 Ave:	
Controls for speeding traffic	1
Improve traffic flow	1
Retain street parking	1
8. Who is responsible for arts centre replacement cost overruns?	1
9. Underground parking should be two levels, overflow visitor parking would end up in NDRC parking lot	1
<b>Responses from Non-Delta Residents or No Address Given</b>	<b>9</b>

**LU007852 Public Information Meeting – November 22, 2016**  
**Summary of Comments**

	<b>Number of Responses</b>
<b>Support:</b>	
5. Like the proposed buildings	7
6. No details given	3
<b>Opposed or Expressing Concern:</b>	
7. Proposed 6 storeys is too much (3-4 storeys preferred)	17
8. Proposed parking is insufficient/opposed to request for parking variance	15
- Would have negative impact on surrounding area and NDRC	
9. Density is too high	13
- Development should respect existing OCP policies and land use designations for Nordel Social Heart and 84 Avenue Community Corridor	
10. Object to the sale of municipally-owned lands to a private developer	13
- Would like to see the sale open to bids from other parties	
11. Proposed development would bring more traffic to 84 Avenue	9
12. Concerns with consultation process	6
- Should include school board, emergency services, arts groups, larger notification area	
- More detailed transportation/traffic data and study is needed	
13. Do not like the proposed design	5
- Does not fit within the character of the neighbourhood	
- Would negatively impact adjacent properties (shadows, privacy, etc)	
14. Environmental concerns	4
- Questions whether the proposal a sustainable/green development	
- Carbon footprint of building	
- Lack of frequent transit service to area, proposal would result in more traffic	
15. Proposed replacement arts and cultural centre is too small	3
- Would not allow for future growth	
16. Existing Firehall Centre for the Arts should be retained as a heritage building	3
17. Pedestrian facilities (sidewalks, street lighting, etc) should be improved in the adjacent single family neighbourhood to mitigate potential impacts	2
18. Concerned with loss of trees	2
<b>Responses from Residents Outside of North Delta or No Valid Address Given</b>	<b>9</b>